


Please select from the following (check all that apply):


Answered: 985 Skipped: 24



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Parent of School-Aged Child(ren)	60.51%	596
Community Member	50.46%	497
Staff	14.92%	147
Student	0.30%	3
Total Respondents: 985		

Voter Registration


Answered: 995 Skipped: 14



Answer Choices	Responses
I am a registered voter in the Mason school district and I voted on May 3	79.80% 794
I am a registered voter in the Mason school district and I did not vote on May 3	7.54% 75
I am a registered voter in the Mason school district and I voted via absentee ballot	5.63% 56
I am not a registered voter	7.04% 70
Total	995

The building and site sinking fund provides approximately \$665,000 annually to the district. These funds are used for maintenance and repairs such as roofs, mechanical systems, paving, doors and windows.


Answered: 984 Skipped: 25



Answer Choices	Responses	
I voted YES and supported the Building and Site Sinking Fund	75.61%	744
I voted NO and did not support the Building and Site Sinking Fund	9.15%	90
n/a	15.24%	150
Total		984

The bond proposal was developed to fund district wide facility improvements, safety and security measures, educational technology and the construction of a new 4th/5th grade building.


Answered: 873 Skipped: 136



Answer Choices	Responses	Count
I voted YES and supported the Bond Proposal	47.88%	418
I voted NO and did not support the Bond Proposal	39.52%	345
I did not vote, but I supported the Bond Proposal	8.59%	75
I did not vote, but I didn't support the Bond Proposal	4.01%	35
Total		873

Proposed Safety and Security measures included secure entries at all school buildings; sidewalks, playground and pedestrian traffic improvements through the district; and the replacement of aging school buses.


Answered: 863 Skipped: 146



Answer Choices	Responses	
I am in support of the proposed Safety and Security measures	86.67%	748
I am not in support of the proposed Safety and Security measures	13.33%	115
Total		863

Proposed Facilities & Site Improvements included extensive remodeling of Steele Elementary including demolishing the 1955 portion of the building to replace it with new classrooms and a gymnasium.


Answered: 845 Skipped: 164



Answer Choices	Responses	
I am in support of the proposed Facilities & Site Improvements at Steele Elementary	64.62%	546
I am not in support of the proposed Facilities & Site Improvements at Steele Elementary	35.38%	299
Total		845

Proposed Facilities & Site Improvements included renovations at the Middle School and High School to the science labs, wood shop, robotics, STEM labs, visual arts, performing arts and athletics.


Answered: 843 Skipped: 166



Answer Choices	Responses	
I am in support of the proposed Facilities & Site Improvements at the Middle School and High School	77.58%	654
I am not in support of the proposed Facilities & Site Improvements at the Middle School and High School	22.42%	189
Total		843

**Proposed Facilities & Site Improvements
included plumbing, mechanical, and
electrical upgrades throughout the District
to replace aged systems, improve air
quality and operational efficiencies.**

Answered: 854 Skipped: 155



Answer Choices	Responses	
I am in support of the proposed district wide systems improvements	89.93%	768
I am not in support of the proposed district wide systems improvements	10.07%	86
Total		854

The bond proposal attempted to address the most pressing need of the school district: the overcrowding of elementary schools. The steering committee looked at 10-12 different options to address this need including:- Remodel and/or expand the James Harvey Education Center (Cedar Street) in some capacity-

Additions/Renovations to Current Elementary Schools- Move All 5th Graders to the Middle School- Build a New 4th/5th Grade Intermediate School


Remodel and/or Expand Cedar Street Elementary: Cedar Street was repurposed in 2009 as the James C. Harvey Education Center (HEC). The HEC is currently utilized by several early childhood programs, including the following: Head Start, Great Start Readiness, Early Childhood Special Education, Early Learning, before and after school care and the summer program. The HEC also provides office space for District Administration, Special Education Department, Board of Education and other district support staff. This building presently services 434 students in total and more than 200 students during regular school hours.

This building is also one of the oldest buildings in the school district and is in need of major renovations to bring it up to date to deliver a 21st Century education. These renovations were deemed too costly and would require the moving of all early childhood programs and administration to a different location that would have to be purchased or built.

Additions/Renovations to Current Elementary Schools: These schools will have enrollment above 484 students for the 2016-17 school year. Additions/renovations could be made to house over 500 students. However, research shows that elementary

schools that have between 300-400 students are best for educational outcomes and family involvement. The Steering Committee felt that 500+ student elementary schools were too large. Move 5th Grade to the Middle School: The middle school would require major renovations to handle this change. A new 5th grade wing would need to be built. Additional space for gym classes, cafeteria space, media center enlargement, and locker rooms would be needed. Even if it was short term, the cost of putting significant dollars towards a short term solution didn't make sense. The Steering Committee felt that having a 1,000 student middle school (5th-8th grades) was too large and having 5th graders on a campus with 6th-8th graders was not preferred. Build a New 4th/5th Grade Intermediate School: The Steering Committee, after much research into class sizes and budget calculations, ultimately made the recommendation to build a new 4th/5th grade building. Given these four options, please rank in order of preference.

Answered: 812 Skipped: 197




May 3, 2016 School Bond Proposition Survey

	1	2	3	4	Total	Score
Renovations and expansions to all Elementary Schools to House K through 5th Grade	38.67% 302	41.10% 321	15.62% 122	4.61% 36	781	3.14
Remodel and/or expand Cedar Street Elementary in some capacity to house additional students	23.71% 188	29.00% 230	28.37% 225	18.92% 150	793	2.58
Move All Fifth Graders to the Middle School	7.18% 55	16.06% 123	37.21% 285	39.56% 303	766	1.91
Build a New 4th/5th Grade Intermediate School	33.08% 257	14.16% 110	16.86% 131	35.91% 279	777	2.44

In your opinion, the James Harvey Education Center (HEC), or old Cedar Street Elementary School, currently:


Answered: 782 Skipped: 227



Answer Choices	Responses
Is closed and mostly empty	3.20% 25
Houses central office and Board of Education, but little else	15.22% 119
Is full and at capacity with several early childhood programs, central office, special education and Board of Education	81.59% 638
Total	782

The Technology portion of the bond proposal would have provided our staff and students with updated computers and devices, increased the number of computers and devices, updated classroom multimedia components and district infrastructure, and expanded the district's wireless capacity.


Answered: 850 Skipped: 159



Answer Choices	Responses
I am in support of the proposed Technology improvements	81.06% 689
I am not in support of the proposed Technology improvements	18.94% 161
Total	850

Where did you receive your information regarding the two funding proposals on the May 3 ballot? (check all that apply)


Answered: 822 Skipped: 187



Answer Choices	Responses
District Web Site	57.42% 472
Information Boards in School Lobbies	31.63% 260
Informational Video	35.52% 292
Social Media	55.47% 456
Community Forum(s)	37.47% 308
District Newsletters and Weekly School Communications	58.27% 479
Instant Alerts	30.54% 251
Lansing State Journal	21.78% 179
Mason Today	42.09% 346
Total Respondents: 822	

Where do you typically receive your information relating to our school district (check all that apply)?


Answered: 841 Skipped: 168



Answer Choices	Responses
District Web Site	43.28% 364
Social Media	44.59% 375
District Newsletters and Weekly School Communications	65.76% 553
I Do Not Regularly Receive School District Information	15.93% 134
Total Respondents: 841	

How important do you believe it is to keep our district competitive with neighboring districts in the following areas?

Answered: 845 Skipped: 164




May 3, 2016 School Bond Proposition Survey

	Not Important	Somewhat Important	Very Important	Total
Educational programs and opportunities for our students	2.97% 25	16.49% 139	80.55% 679	843
Class sizes	5.01% 42	28.96% 243	66.03% 554	839
Condition of facilities	3.00% 25	33.53% 279	63.46% 528	832
Technology for students	4.66% 39	29.63% 248	65.71% 550	837
Student and staff safety	3.21% 27	18.41% 155	78.38% 660	842

Do you believe the district should provide another opportunity to vote on a new proposal?

Answered: 867 Skipped: 142



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	79.24% 687
No	20.76% 180
Total	867